

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,424	(09/18/2001	Fuji Yang	LARSSON 26-13-2 9474	
47396	7590	07/13/2006		EXAMINER	
HITT GAINES, PC				AHN, SAM K	
AGERE SY	STEMS IN	IC.			
PO BOX 832570			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RICHARDSON TY 75083			2611		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/955,424	YANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sam K. Ahn	2611	

.	LAGIIIII	Ait 0 iiit	
	Sam K. Ahn	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant.	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)		TE Delow);	-
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(/ .
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	norrabio il babilintoa ili a coparato,	inner, mea arrienari	
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ll be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>9,20 and 31</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10-18,21-29,32 and 33</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
Khamh con of	Tran 07/0 aminer KHANH	7/2006	
Primary Ex	aminer KHANH	TRAN	

Part of Paper No. 20060623

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: in claims 1,12 and 23, applicants have amended the claims that raise new issues that would include further search and consideration, since the claims recite "wherein said latches are configured to perform a second 1:2 demultiplexing operation" without further reciting what is being demultiplexed during the second demultiplexing operation. Thus, the claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.